

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.unpto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/569,157	10/13/2006	Michael Paul Brown	19610	7808
7590 G3262099 SCULLY, SCOTT, MURPHY & PRESSER, P.C. 400 GARDEN CITY PLAZA			EXAMINER	
			HIRIYANNA, KELAGINAMANE T	
SUITE 300 GARDEN CITY, NY 11530		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1633	•
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/26/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/569,157 BROWN ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit KELAGINAMANE T. HIRIYANNA -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 February 2006. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-34 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-34 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Information Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S5/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _______.

5 Notice of Informal Patent Application

6) Other:

Application/Control Number: 10/569,157 Page 2

Art Unit: 1633

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

The inventions as claimed are classified into following groups:

- I. Claim 1-19 and 21 drawn to a genetic vaccine construct comprising an avipoxvirus that expresses a prostrate specific polypeptide or a derivative there of and optionally a sequence of nucleotides encoding an immunostimulatory peptide and to a hybridization probe.
- II. Claim 20 drawn to a an antibody capable of acting as a marker for the genetic vaccine construct and recognizes epitopes uniquely formed in expression product of the genetic vaccine construct using an avipox vector that encodes a prostrate specific polypeptide and optionally encodes a immunostimulatory peptide.
- III. Claim 22-23 and 26-34 drawn to a method for stimulating or enhancing a prostrate cell specific immune response in a subject using an avipox vector that encodes a prostrate specific polypeptide and optionally encodes a immunostimulatory peptide.
- IV. Claim 24-25 and 26-34 drawn to a method for immunotherapy and/or immunoprophylaxis of a prostrate cancer in a subject using an avipox vector that encodes a prostrate specific polypeptide and optionally encodes a immunostimulatory peptide.

The inventions listed as Groups I, II, III and IV do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: a) a prior

Application/Control Number: 10/569,157

Art Unit: 1633

art of record exists regarding a feature linking technical claims 1-34 i.e., a genetic vaccine construct comprising an Avipox vrus vector expresses a prostate specific polypeptide (For example see WO 1997/03203; WO 1998/046769; Fong et al., J. Immunol., 1997, 159:3113-3117; Kass et al., Cancer Res. 2001, 61:206-214). The invention as whole thus lacks unity under PCT rule hence a restriction as indicated above is proper. The mode of operation, and the effects evaluated in each of the above invention are distinct and different from the other. Therefore, a search and examination for the patentability of the above inventive groups together would generate an undue search burden on the examiner. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: Should Group I be elected from above, the.

(a). Applicant is required chose a single species of cytokine among the recited in claims 8 and 9 i.e., IL-2 or IL-12 or TNF-alpha or IFN-gamma or IL-6 or IL-7 or GM-CSF.

The species are independent or distinct because they are structurally distinct.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Art Unit: 1633

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: Should Group III or IV be elected from above, the.

(a). Applicant is required chose a single species of cytokine among the recited in claims 31 and 32 i.e., IL-2 or IL-12 or TNF-alpha or IFN-gamma or IL-6 or IL-7 or GM-CSF.

The species are independent or distinct because they are structurally distinct.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/569,157

Art Unit: 1633

Applicant is advised that the response to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement is traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to examiner Kelaginamane Hiriyanna Ph.D., whose telephone number is (571) 272-3307. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 9 AM-7PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Woitach Ph.D., may be reached at (571) 272-0739. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairdirect.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). When calling please have your application serial number or patent number, the type of document you are having an image problem with, the number of pages and the specific nature of the problem. For all other customer support, please call the USPTO call center (UCC) at (800) 786-9199.

Application/Control Number: 10/569,157

Page 6

Art Unit: 1633

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1633